

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 49 (2008) 1034–1036

Indium-mediated vic-diallylation/propargylation of phenacyl bromides: a facile synthesis of 4-arylocta-1,7-dien-4-ol derivatives

J. S. Yadav *, B. V. Subba Reddy, Swapan Kumar Biswas, Sandip Sengupta, P. Vishnumurthy

Division of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

Received 26 September 2007; revised 29 November 2007; accepted 3 December 2007 Available online 21 December 2007

Abstract

Phenacyl bromides undergo smooth vic-diallylation and dipropargylation with allyl and propargyl indium reagents generated in situ from metallic indium and allyl or propargyl bromide to produce 4-arylocta-1,7-dien-4-ol derivatives in good yields. Phenacyl chloride and azide also participated effectively in bis-allylation. Similar results are also obtained from in situ generated allyl or propargyl zinc bromide.

 $© 2007$ Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: Indium metal; Phenacyl bromide; vic-diallylation/propargylation; C–C bond formation

The allylation/propargylation of carbonyl compounds is of great interest in organic synthesis^{$1-4$} because the resultant homoallylic and propargylic alcohols are versatile precursors for various transformations.^{5–9} In particular, bis-allylated products are important intermediates for Grubbs' ring closing metathesis.^{[10,11](#page-2-0)} Since the successful introduction of magnesium metal in Grignard reactions for carbon–carbon bond formation, the utilization of other metals of the Periodic System for organic synthesis has received widespread attention and one of the latest additions is indium. During the last decade, indium has emerged as a metal of high potential in organic synthesis because it possesses certain unique properties. Indium metal is unaffected by air or oxygen at ambient temperatures and can be handled safely without any apparent toxicity. In addition, indium exhibits low heterophilicity in organic reactions and thus oxygen- and nitrogen-containing functional groups are usually well tolerated by organo-indium reagents.^{[12,13](#page-2-0)} Moreover, indium-assisted reactions display low nucleophilicity thus permitting chemoselective transformations of groups of similar reactivity.[14–18](#page-2-0) How-

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.12.005

ever, there have been no reports on the vic-diallylation/ propargylation of phenacyl bromides using allyl/propargyl indium bromide.

In this Letter, we describe an efficient protocol for the vic-diallylation/propargylation of phenacyl bromides using allyl/propargyl bromide and indium metal. Initially, we attempted the allylation of phenacyl bromide (1) with 2.5 equiv of allyl bromide (2) in the presence of indium metal. The reaction proceeded smoothly in THF at room temperature to produce 4-phenylocta-1,7-dien-4-ol 3a in 74% yield (Scheme 1).

This result provided the incentive for further study of reactions with various other phenacyl bromides such as p -methoxy-, p -methyl-, p -chloro-, 2,4-dichloro-, and o methoxy derivatives to furnish the corresponding 4-arylocta-1,7-dienol derivatives ([Table 1](#page-1-0), entries c, e, g, i, and j).

Scheme 1. Allylation of phenacyl bromide.

Corresponding author. Tel.: $+91$ 40 27193030; fax: $+91$ 40 27160512. E-mail address: yadavpub@iict.res.in (J. S. Yadav).

Table 1 Indium-mediated bis-allylation/ propargylation of phenacyl bromides

Entry	Phenacyl bromide	Allyl bromide/ Propargyl bromide	Product ^a	Time (h)	Yield ^b $(\%)$
\rm{a}	O .Br	Br	ŌН	26	74
$\mathbf b$.Br	Br	ÓН	21	$72\,$
$\mathbf c$.Br MeC	.Br	OН MeO	16	$78\,$
d	Br MeO	Br	ОН MeO	17	65
$\mathbf e$	Br Me	\searrow Br	ОН Me	16	72
$\mathbf f$.Br Me	Br	ΟН Me	18	62
g	Br CI	E	ΟН CI	15	69
h	O .Br CI	Br	ŌН CI	17	67
$\rm i$	$\frac{0}{\pi}$ CI Cl ₁ CI	,Br	ОН CI.	15	64
j	OMeO Br	$\llap{$\sim$}^{\mathsf{Br}}$	OMeOH	16	61
${\bf k}$.Br	$\ll 3r$	OH) Br	35	55
$\,$ $\,$	O .Br O_2N	$\ll 3r$	ÓH. । Br	38	58
m	$\overset{\mathsf{O}}{\underset{\mathsf{M}_3}{\bigcup} \mathsf{N}_3}$ CI	$\ll 3r$	OH	15	70

^a Products were characterized by NMR, IR, and mass spectrometry. **b** Yield refers to pure products after column chromatography.

In the cases of p -nitro- and p -fluorophenacyl bromides, mono-allylated products were isolated as the major products even after an extended reaction time (48 h). This method is well tolerated with substrates bearing nitro and halide functionalities (Table 1, entries g -i and k -m). Interestingly, the allylation of phenacyl azide gave the bisallylated product with concomitant loss of nitrogen and was comparatively faster than with phenacyl halides (Table 1, entry m). Similarly, phenacyl bromides underwent propargylation with propargyl bromide under identical conditions (Table 1, entries b, d, f, and h). For example, treatment of propargyl indium bromide, generated in situ from metallic indium and propargyl bromide, with phenacyl bromide in THF gave the bis-propargylated product in 72% yield (Table 1, entry b, Scheme 2).

The method is clean and the products were obtained in good yields with high selectivity. As solvent, THF gave the best results over acetonitrile and dichloromethane. Activated zinc was also equally effective for this conversion. In situ generated allyl/propargyl indium bromide from 2.5 equiv of indium and 2.5 equiv of allyl/propargyl bromide was effective for the allylation/propargylation of phenacyl bromides. The scope of this method is illustrated in Table 1. [19](#page-2-0)

Mechanistically, it would appear that addition occurs initially on the carbonyl group followed by reaction with another equivalent of allyl/propargyl indium leading to bis-allylation/propargylation. A tentative reaction sequence is depicted in Scheme 3.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient protocol for the vic-diallylation/propargylation of phenacyl bromides using allyl/propargyl bromide and indium or zinc metal. The use of indium makes this procedure simple and convenient for scale-up. The products are potentially useful precursors for the preparation of aryl substituted cyclohexadiene derivatives (via Grubbs' ring closing

Scheme 2. Propargylation of phenacyl bromide.

Scheme 3. A plausible reaction mechanism.

metathesis and dehydration), which are important building blocks in organic synthesis.

Acknowledgment

S.K.B., S.S. and P.V.M. thank the CSIR, New Delhi, for the award of fellowships.

References and notes

- 1. Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2207–2293.
- 2. Hoffman, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 555–566.
- 3. Marshall, J. A. Chemtracts 1992, 5, 75–106.
- 4. Yasuda, M.; Tsuchida, M.; Baba, A. Chem. Commun. 1998, 563– 564.
- 5. Miranda, P. O.; Dıaz, D. D.; Padron, J. I.; Bermejo, J.; Martın, V. S. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1979–1982.
- 6. Miranda, P. O.; Ramırez, M. A.; Padron, J. I.; Martın, V. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 283–286.
- 7. Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Narayana Kumar, G. G. K. S.; Swamy, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 2205–2208.
- 8. Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. Subba; Mahesh Kumar, G.; Murty, Ch. V. S. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 89–91.
- 9. Yadav, J. S.; Subba Reddy, B. V.; Maity, T.; Narayana Kumar, G. G. K. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7155–7159.
- 10. Shen, K.-H.; Kuo, C.-W.; Yao, C.-F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6348–6351.
- 11. Sabitha, G.; Reddy, Ch. S.; Babu, R. S.; Yadav, J. S. Synlett 2002, 366.
- 12. Phil, H. L. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28, 17–28.
- 13. Podlech, J.; Maier, T. C. Synthesis 2003, 633–655.
- 14. Ranu, B. C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 2347–2356.
- 15. Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Reddy, K. S.; Reddy, K. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 1549–1551.
- 16. Yadav, J. S.; Bandyopadhyay, A.; Reddy, B. V. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 6385–6388.
- 17. Yadav, J. S.; Anjaneyulu, S.; Moinuddin Ahmed, Md.; Reddy, B. V. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 2557–2559.
- 18. Yadav, J. S.; Bandyopadhyay, A.; Reddy, B. V. S. Synlett 2001, 1608– 1610.
- 19. General procedure: A mixture of allylbromide/propargyl bromide (2.5 mmol) and indium metal (2.6 mmol) was stirred in THF at room temperature for 15–20 min to dissolve the metal then phenacyl bromide (1 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for the appropriate time ([Table 1\)](#page-1-0). After complete conversion as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was quenched with aqueous saturated

ammonium chloride (10 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (Merck, 100–200 mesh, ethyl acetate–hexane, 1:7) to afford the pure product. Product $3k$ has been reported in the literature.²⁰ Spectral data for selected products: 4-Phenyl-1,7-octadien-4-ol $(3a)$: Liquid, IR (KBr) : v 3449, 3063, 2926, 2852, 2365, 1719, 1631, 1461, 1281, 907, 811, 739 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.08-7.81 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.11 (m, 3H), 5.92–5.72 (m, 2H), 5.17–5.09 (m, 4H), 2.39 (dd, 2H, $J = 6.3$, 13.9 Hz), 2.02–1.64 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, Proton decoupled CDCl₃): δ 30.1, 39.4, 51.3, 74.4, 116.1, 118.3, 128.2, 129.4, 135.1, 137.2, 138.8, 149.1; LC-MSD-Trap-SL: m/z: 225 (M+23); HRMS calcd for C14H18O: 202.1357; found, 202.1367. 4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,7-octadiene-4-ol (3c): Liquid, IR (KBr): v 3448, 2924, 2855, 2365, 1732, 1642, 1513, 1458, 1364, 1249, 1177, 1037, 916, 826 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.07 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 15.4 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 5.98–5.77 (m, 2H), 5.16–4.97 (m, 4H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 2H), 2.17 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 4H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, Proton decoupled, CDCl₃): δ 36.1, 39.4, 43.3, 55.1, 73.9, 113.5, 113.8, 118.6, 129.2, 131.5, 134.9, 137.1, 158.2; LC-MSD-Trap-SL: m/z: 255 (M+23). HRMS calcd for [M+Na] $C_{15}H_{20}O_2$ Na: 255.1360; found, 255.1363. 4-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,7-octadiene-4-ol (3e): Liquid, IR (KBr): *v* 3444, 3067, 2925, 2855, 2364, 1724, 1638, 1457, 1283, 912, 813, 759, 539 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.07–6.97 (m, 4H), 5.80–5.50 (m, 2H), 5.09–4.82 (m, 4H), 2.76–2.37 (m, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.18–1.85 (m, 3H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, Proton decoupled, CDCl3): d 21.0, 29.6, 36.0, 39.5, 51.4, 74.1, 115.8, 118.2, 128.3, 129.1, 134.9, 137.0, 138.6; LC-MSD-Trap-SL: m/z: 239 (M+23); HRMS calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}O$: 216.1514; found, 216.1521. 4-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,7-octadiyn-4-ol (3f): Liquid, IR (KBr): m 3485, 2961, 2892, 1371, 791 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.36 (d, 2H, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 7.14 (d, 2H, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 2.88 (d, 2H, $J = 2.3$ Hz), 2.63 (br, 1H), 2.36 $(s, 3 H)$, 2.23 (d, 1H, $J = 2.3$ Hz), 2.09 (m, 2H), 2.01 (d, 1H, $J = 2.3$ Hz), 1.92 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, Proton decoupled CDCl3): d 15.1, 21.2, 32.9, 39.8, 68.6, 78.5, 80.2, 84.7, 84.9, 128.3, 129.2, 137.0, 141.2. LC-MSD-Trap-SL: m/z (%): 235 (M+23), 174, 141, 129, 102, 74; HRMS calcd for $[M+Na]$ C₁₅H₁₆ONa: 235.1098; found, 235.1107. 1-Bromo-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-4-penten-2-ol (3l): Liquid, IR (KBr): m 3549, 2868, 1521, 1350, 1495, 1445, 1329, 1261, 956, 712 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.23 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 8.09 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 5.76–5.65 (m, 1H), 5.08 (m, 2H), 3.96 (dd, 2H, $J = 3.2$, 10.9 Hz), 2.56 (s, 2H), 2.26 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75) MHz, Proton decoupled, CDCl₃): δ 43.2, 49.7, 74.5, 115.8, 119.8, 129.3, 135.9, 145.3, 158.1 HRMS calcd for $[M+Na]$ C₁₁H₁₂ BrNO3Na: 307.9898; found, 307.9905.

20. Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Vishnumuthy, P.; Biswas, S. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6641–6643.